

Algebra Comprehensive Exam

— Fall 2007 —

Instructions: Complete five of the seven problems below. If you attempt more than five questions, then clearly indicate which five should be graded.

- (1) Let G be a finite group such that $\text{Aut}(G)$ acts transitively on the set $G \setminus \{e\}$. Show that G is a p -group for some prime p , and that G is abelian.

Solution. Let p be a prime dividing the order of G . Then there is an element $x \in G$ with $|x| = p$. Let $y \in G \setminus \{e\}$ be an arbitrary element. Then there exists $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ with $\phi(y) = x$, and $e = \phi(x^p) = y^p$, which implies that $|y| = p$. Consequently if $q \neq p$ is a prime, then G has no element of order q , and so $|G|$ is a power of p .

Since G is a p -group, there exists a $z \neq e$ in the center of G . If $a, b \in G \setminus \{e\}$ are arbitrary elements, then there exists $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ with $\psi(b) = z$. But then

$$\psi(ab) = \psi(a)z = z\psi(a) = \psi(ba).$$

Since ψ is an automorphism, and hence injective, $ab = ba$. □

- (2) An element $e \in A$ is an *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. A commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ that has a unique maximal ideal is called a *local ring*. Prove that the only idempotent elements in a local ring are 0 and 1.

Solution. Let \mathfrak{m} be the unique maximal ideal of A . Then $e(1 - e) = 0 \in \mathfrak{m}$ and since \mathfrak{m} is prime, $e \in \mathfrak{m}$ or $1 - e \in \mathfrak{m}$. Note that e and $1 - e$ cannot both be elements of \mathfrak{m} since this would imply $1 = e + (1 - e) \in \mathfrak{m}$.

If $e \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $1 - e \notin \mathfrak{m}$, and so $1 - e$ is a unit. (Indeed, if a is a nonunit then (a) is a proper ideal of A . Thus (a) is contained in some maximal ideal but since there is only one we have $(a) \subset \mathfrak{m}$. So all nonunits are contained in \mathfrak{m} .) But then $e = 0$. Similarly, if $1 - e \in \mathfrak{m}$, then e is a unit and so $1 - e = 0$. □

- (3) If $p < q < r$ are primes and G is a finite group of order pqr , prove that the Sylow r -subgroup of G is normal. It is true and you may assume (without proving it) that one of the Sylow subgroups is normal.

Solution. If the Sylow p -subgroup P is normal then consider $G' = G/P$. (The argument when the q -subgroup is normal is analogous.) This is a group of order qr . Let n'_r be the number of Sylow r subgroups in G' . We know by the Sylow theorems that n'_r must divide q and be equal to $1 + nr$ for some non-negative integer n . Thus $n = 0$, since $r > p$, and $n'_r = 1$. So there is a unique Sylow r -subgroup R' in G' which must be normal. From the fourth isomorphism theorem there is a normal subgroup R'' in G such that R''/P is isomorphic to R' . Thus the order of R'' is rp . Considering R'' as a group in its own right we can argue as above (since r is larger than p) that there is a unique Sylow r subgroup of R'' which we denote by R . So the order of R is r and R is a subgroup of G . So it is a Sylow r -subgroup. If S is another Sylow r -subgroup of G then, again by the Sylow theorems, there is some element $g \in G$ such that $gRg^{-1} = S$ so $S = gRg^{-1} \subset gR''g^{-1} = R''$. Thus S is a subgroup of R'' that has order r . Since the order r -subgroup of R'' is unique we know $S = R$. We have shown that R is the only Sylow r -subgroup of G and thus it is normal. □

- (4) The operators A_1, \dots, A_k in a vector space of dimension n are such that $A_1 + \dots + A_k = I$. Prove that the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) Each A_i is a projection.
 (b) $A_i A_j = 0, i \neq j$.
 (c) $\text{rank}(A_1) + \cdots + \text{rank}(A_k) = n$.

Solution. (a) \Rightarrow (c). Notice that if the range of l of the A_i 's nontrivially overlapped and v was in this common range then $(A_1 + \cdots + A_k)v = lv$. This is not possible unless $l = 1$. Thus the ranges do not overlap and if r_i denotes the rank of A_i we see that $r_1 + \cdots + r_k \leq n$. However $A_1 + \cdots + A_k = I$ implies that $r_1 + \cdots + r_k \geq n$. (Since the range of a sum of operators must be contained in the span of the ranges of each operator.)

(c) \Rightarrow (b) Since the whole vector space is contained in the span of the images of the A_i and $r_1 + \cdots + r_k = n$ we see that the images of the A_i can only have trivial intersection. Thus if v_i is a vector in the image of A_i and $v_1 + \cdots + v_k = 0$ then all the $v_i = 0$. Now if v is in the image of A_1 then $A_1 v + A_2 v + \cdots + A_k v = v$ so $(A_1 v - v) + A_2 v + \cdots + A_k v = 0$ and we see that $A_i v = 0$ for $i \neq 1$ and $A_1 v = v$. In particular $A_i A_1 = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$. Similarly $A_j A_i = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Note $A_1 = A_1(A_1 + \cdots + A_k) = A_1^2 + A_1 A_2 + \cdots + A_1 A_k = A_1^2$ so A_1 is a projection. Similarly the other A_i are projections. \square

- (5) Let F be a field and K an extension of F of degree n . Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree m . Suppose n and m are relatively prime. Show that $f(x)$ is irreducible as a polynomial in $K[x]$.

Solution. Suppose $f(x)$ factors in $K[x]$ as $f_1(x)f_2(x)$, with $f_1(x)$ irreducible. Let m_1 and m_2 be the degrees of f_1 and f_2 , respectively. If m_1 or m_2 is 1 then there is a root a of $f(x)$ in K and if we let $E = K(a)$ then we know $[E : F] = m$ and $[K : F] = [K : E][E : F] = [K : E]m$ and hence m divides n , a contradiction. Thus $1 < m_i < m$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $K' = K[x]/(f_1(x))$. We know $[K' : K] = m_1$ and K' has a root of $f_1(x)$, hence a root of $f(x)$. Since K' is a field extension of F that contains a root of $f(x)$, as argued above, we know m divides $[K' : F] = m_1 n$. Therefore m_2 divides n , but this contradicts m and n being relatively prime unless $m_2 = 1$ which we already argued is not the case. Hence $f(x)$ is irreducible in $K[x]$. \square

- (6) Let R be a commutative ring with 1 and let M be an ideal of R . Show that if M is maximal and principal then there is no ideal I such that $M^2 \subsetneq I \subsetneq M$. Moreover, give examples to show that this is not true if M is not assumed to be maximal and give an example to show that this is not true if M is not assumed to be principal.

Solution. Suppose $M = (a)$ and I is an ideal contained in M and containing M^2 . One may easily check that $M^2 = (a^2)$. Thus $a^2 r \in I$ for all $r \in R$. If we assume $I \neq M^2$ then there is some element in M that is not in M^2 in I . That is there is some element of the form ar in I for some $r \in R$ with a not dividing r . Thus $r \notin M$ and (a, r) is an ideal properly containing M . So $(a, r) = R$ and we know there are r_1 and r_2 such that $ar_1 + rr_2 = 1$. Which implies that $a = a^2 r_1 + arr_2$ is in I . So $I = M$.

To see the necessity of M being maximal consider $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = (6)$. Then $M^2 = (36)$ and $I = (12)$ is properly between M and M^2 .

To see the necessity of M being principal consider $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $M = (2, x)$. Consider $I = (2, x^2)$. Clearly $x \notin I$ so I is a proper sub-ideal of M . Moreover, $2 \notin M^2$. (Indeed if it were then $2 = (a_2 + bx_2)(c_2 + dx_2) = ac_2 + (ad + bc_2)x_2 + dbx_2^2$, thus $db = 0$ which implies, say $d = 0$. Thus $2 = ac_2 + bc_2 x_2$. This implies $bc_2 = 0$. If $b = 0$ then $2 = ac_2$ a clear contradiction, so we must have $c = 0$. But this implies $2 = a_2 + bx_2$ also a clear contradiction.) Thus $I \neq M^2$. \square

- (7) Let G be a non-abelian group of order p^3 where p is a prime. Prove that the center $Z(G)$ of G is of order p and that $Z(G) = [G, G]$ where $[G, G]$ is the commutator subgroup of G , that is the subgroup generated by $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Solution. Since G is a p -group it has nontrivial center. Thus $|Z(G)| = p, p^2$ or p^3 , but since G is non-abelian the order cannot be p^3 . Thus we are left to show that $|Z(G)| \neq p^2$. If this were the case then $G/Z(G)$ would have order p and hence be cyclic. So $G/Z(G)$ is generated by a single element say, $gZ(G)$. Thus any element in G is of the form $g^n h$ for some n and $h \in Z(G)$. Given two elements a and b in G write them as $a = g^n z$ and $b = g^m z'$. We now see

$$ab = g^n z g^m z' = g^n g^m z z' = g^m g^n z' z = g^m z' g^n z = ab.$$

Thus G would have to be abelian, a contradiction. Therefore $|Z(G)| = p$.

Since $G/Z(G)$ has order p^2 we know it is an abelian group (indeed, we know it has nontrivial center and when we quotient by it we get a cyclic group, so arguing as above it must be abelian). Thus if we denote $Z(G)$ by Z then for any $a, b \in G$ we have $abZ = aZbZ = bZaZ = baZ$ so $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \in Z(G)$ and $[G, G] \subset Z(G)$. Since G is non-abelian we know $[G, G] \neq \{e\}$ and since it is a subgroup of G it has order divisible by p . Thus $[G, G] = Z(G)$. \square

- (8) Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the group of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the complex numbers \mathbb{C} .
- Given two diagonalizable elements A and B in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ there is an invertible matrix T in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that TAT^{-1} and TBT^{-1} are both diagonal matrices if and only if $AB = BA$.
 - Let A be a nonsingular diagonalizable matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Prove there is a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $A^{-1} = f(A)$.

Solution. (a) Suppose v is an eigenvector for A so that $Av = \lambda v$. Then notice that

$$ABv = BAv = B\lambda v = \lambda v.$$

Thus if we let E_λ be the eigenspace of A corresponding to the eigenvalue λ then $B(E_\lambda) \subset E_\lambda$. That is B preserves the eigenspaces of A . Thus we can choose eigenvectors v_1, \dots, v_n for B that span \mathbb{C}^n and so that each v_i is also an eigenvector of A . (This is easy to do, just restrict B to E_λ and pick eigenvectors for B as a linear transformation on E_λ . Do this for each eigenspace.) Let T be the $n \times n$ matrix with columns given by the v_i 's. Clearly TAT^{-1} and TBT^{-1} are both diagonal matrices.

Conversely assume that you can find the desired T then

$$AB = (T^{-1}DT)(T^{-1}D'T) = T^{-1}DD'T = T^{-1}D'DT = T^{-1}D'TT^{-1}DT = BA,$$

where $D = TAT^{-1}$ and $D' = TBT^{-1}$.

(b) Consider $A = A$ and $B = A^{-1}$. Clearly A and B satisfy the hypotheses of part (a) so there is a K that simultaneously diagonalizes A and B . Let c_1, \dots, c_n be the values along the diagonal of D (from part (a)) and d_1, \dots, d_n the values along the diagonal of D' . Of course $d_i = c_i^{-1}$. There is a polynomial $f(x)$ that will take the value d_i at the point c_i . (If we look at the distinct values of the c_i 's and the d_i 's we get a one-to-one correspondence between them. Given such a correspondence it is easy to construct a polynomial that will induce this correspondence.) Thus

$$f(A) = f(TDT^{-1}) = Tf(D)T^{-1} = TD'T^{-1} = B = A^{-1},$$

where the second inequality follows since $(TDT^{-1})^k = TD^kT^{-1}$ and the third inequality follows since evaluating a polynomial on a diagonal matrix is the same as evaluating the polynomial on the diagonal elements of the matrix. \square