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## 1 Introduction

We are asked to prove that a finite integral domain is a field.
We know that an integral domain is a ring for which $a \cdot b=0$ implies either $a=0$ or $b=0$. Then, we know that an integral domain is a ring and we need its nonzero elements to form a group under multiplication for it to be a field. Notice that, since an integral domain is a ring, it has the product operation that is associative and commutative and has identity element 1 . Then, the only thing we need to show is that the elements of an integral domain have multiplicative inverses. If we can show this, since associativity and identity element properties for product operation are satisfied by integral domain being a ring, we can also show that integral domain satisfies the inverse element property and therefore its nonzero elements form a group under multiplication.

## 2 Solution

Let $I$ be a finite integral domain. We know that $0,1 \in I$ since $I$ is a ring. Precisely, we can write $I$ such that $I=\left\{0,1, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$.

Claim: Consider any $x_{i} \neq 0$. Then, the set $\bar{I}=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i} x_{1}, x_{i} x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i} x_{n}\right\}$ is the nonzero elements of $I$.
Proof. Note that $\bar{I}$ has $n+1$ elements. We have to show that all of its elements belong to $I$, nonzero and distinct from each other. Let $x_{i}, x_{j} \in I$ and different than
zero. Since $I$ is closed under multiplication, any product of the form $x_{i} x_{j}$ must satisfy $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k}$ for some $x_{k} \in I$. This implies that $\bar{I} \subseteq I$. Also note that the elements $1, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are all different than zero and since $x_{i}$ is also nonzero, the set $\bar{I}=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i} x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i} x_{n}\right\}$ has all nonzero elements. That is because, we know that the product of two nonzero elements is also nonzero for an integral domain since we have $a \cdot b=0 \longrightarrow a=0$ or $b=0$. The contrapositive of this statement is ( $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0) \longrightarrow a \cdot b \neq 0$. Finally, note that all elements of $\bar{I}$ are distinct. We can show this by the way of contradiction. If $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{i} x_{k}$ for some $x_{j} \neq x_{k}$, we have $x_{i}\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)=0$. Because of the exactly the same argument about the property of integral domains, we have $x_{i}\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)=0 \longrightarrow x_{i}=0$ or $\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)=0$. Since $x_{i} \neq 0$, we have $x_{j}-x_{k}=0 \longrightarrow x_{j}=x_{k}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $x_{i} x_{j} \neq x_{i} x_{k}$, which means that the elements of $\bar{I}$ are distinct.

Based on this claim, we should have the element $1 \in \bar{I}$, which means that $x_{i} x_{j}=1$ for some $x_{j} \in \bar{I}$. Note that this implies that $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{j} x_{i}=1$, meaning that $x_{i}^{-1}=x_{j}$. Since $x_{i}$ is arbitrarily chosen, this can be extended to any nonzero element of $I$. Therefore, every nonzero element of $I$ has a multiplicative inverse and we can conclude that nonzero elements of $I$ form a group under multiplication. We also know that $I$ is ring, then we can conclude that it is a field as well.

